FILE COPY

Office - Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED

Supreme Court

INDEX

	PAGE
The Opinions Below	1
Jurisdiction	1
Statement of the case	2
The conflict of decisions is as to infringement	2
Gaisman's contribution to the art	3
The Gaisman blade	6
Petitioner's blade	8
The claims in suit	9
The findings of the courts below on infringement	10
The advantages of the Gaisman invention	10
The operation of the Gaisman principle	13
The invention has been commercially successful	16
ARGUMENT:	
POINT I. The petitioner infringes	17
Decreasing or impairing efficiency does not avoid infringement	25
POINT II. The decision in the Hawley case is unsound	29
POINT III. The law applied in the Canadian case is not our law	34
POINT IV. The scope of Gaisman's claims is not narrowed by the prior art and the claims are valid	41
The Hartman Patent	45
The Ballreich Patent	50
Petitioner's present position regarding the prior patents	52
The Law	54
Conclusion	64

AUTHORITIES.

PAGE

Alice State Bank *v.* Houston Pasture Co., 247 U. S. 240, 242 2

Barbed Wire Case, The, 143 U. S. 275, 292 62

Barry *v.* Harpoon Castor Mfg. Co., 209 Fed. 207 (C. C. A. 2) 62

Beckwith *v.* Malleable Iron Range Co., 174 Fed. 1001, 1010 56

Bonnette Co. *v.* Koehler Co., 82 Fed. (C. C. A. 6), 428, 431 21

Buchanan *v.* Perkins Mfg. Co., 135 Fed. 90, 94 (C. C. A. 3) 62

Canda *et al. v.* Michigan Malleable Iron Co., 124 Fed. 486 (C. C. A. 6), 492 57

Chambers-Bering-Quinlan Co. *v.* Faries (C. C., S. D. Ill.), 75 Fed. 662, 663-4 40

Clark Blade and Razor Co. *v.* Gillette Safety Razor Co. (C. C. A. 3), 194 Fed. 421, 423 ....40, 41, 50

Clark *v.* Williard, 294 U. S. 211, 216 3

Consolidated Valve Co. *v.* Crosby Valve Co., 113 U. S. 157, 170, 171 54

Corona Co. *v.* Dovan Chemical Corp., 276 U. S. 358, 369 13

Dececo Co. *v.* Gilchrist, 125 Fed. 293, 298 (C. C. A. 1) 62

Deering *v.* Winona Harvester Works, 155 U. S. 286, 302 ..... 38, 63

Diamond Rubber Co. *v.* Consolidated Rubber Tire Co., 220 U. S. 428, 442 62

Eclipse Machine Co. *v.* J. H. Specialty Mfg. Co., 4 F. Supp. 306, 312 59

Eibel Process Co. *v.* Minnesota & Ontario Paper Co., 261 U. S. 45, 63, 66 .....36, 42, 58

Expanded Metal Co. *v.* Bradford, 214 U. S. 366, 381 62

PAGE

Faries Mfg. Co. *v.* George W. Brown & Co., 121 Fed. 547, 550 (C. C. A. 7) 62
General Electric Co. *v.* Hartman, 187 Fed. 131, 133, 135, 136 61
General Electric Co. *v.* Sangamo Electric Co., 174 Fed. 246, 251 (C. C. A. 7) 60
George Frost Co. *et al v.* Cohn *et al.,* 112 Fed. 1009 62
Gillette Safety Razor Co. *v.* Hawley Hardware Co., 64 F. (2d) 10, 11, 60 F. (2d) 1019, 1028, 1029 ..... 2, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 41
Gillette Safety Razor of Canada, Ltd. *v.* Pal Blade Corporation Ltd. *et al.,* 1933 S. C. R. 142, 148, 149, 150, 151, 153 ..... 34, 36, 37, 38
Gray Telephone Pay Station Co. *v.* Baird Mfg. Co., 174 Fed. 417, 420, 421 55–56
Gunning *v.* Cooley, 281 U. S. 90, 98 3
Hancock Inspirator Co. *v.* Jenks (C. C., E. D. Mich.), 21 Fed. 911, 915-16 40
H. K. Regar & Sons, Inc. *v.* Scott & Williams, Inc., 63 F. (2nd) 229, 231 59
Hobbs *v.* Beach, 180 U. S. 383, 393 61
Holloway *v.* Dow *et al.* (C. C., D. Ind), 54 Fed. 511, 516 40
Howe *v.* Williams, Fed. Cas. No. 6,778 39
Johnson *v.* Manhattan Ry. Co., 289 U. S. 479, 494. 3
Kenney Mfg. Co. *v.* J. L. Mott Iron Works (C. C., S. D. N. Y.), 137 Fed. 431, 432 40
King Ax Co. *v.* Hubbard, 97 Fed. 795, 803 27
Lane *v.* Crafstmen Film Laboratories, Inc., 7 F. (2d) 288, 289 (C. C. A. 2) 62
Line Material *v.* Brady Electric Mfg. Co., 7 F. (2d) 48 (C. C. A. 2), 50, 51 20
Machine Co. *v.* Murphy, 97 U. S. 120, 125 24
Magowan *v.* New York Belting Co., 141 U. S. 332, 343 62
Maunula *v.* Sunell, 155 Fed. 535, 539 62

PAGE

Morehead *v.* People of State of New York (No. 838, Oct. Term, 1935), 56 S. Ct. 918, 920; 298 U. S. ——..... 3
Munising Paper Co. *v.* American Sulphite Pulp Co., 228 Fed. 700, 703, 704..... 55
Nathan *v.* Howard, 143 Fed. 889, 893 (C. C. A. 6). 20
National Malleable Casting Co. *v.* American Steel Foundries (C. C., D. N. J.), 182 Fed. 626, 638-9 40
Nelson Mfg. Co. *v.* Myers & Bro. Co., 25 F. (2d) 659, 663 58
Paper Bag Patent Case, 210 U. S. 405, 418-419.. 25, 37
Page *v.* Arkansas Gas Corp., 286 U. S. 269, 270, 271 3
Potts *v.* Creager, 155 U. S. 597, 608..... 62
Radio Corp. *v.* Radio Engineering Laboratories, Inc., 293 U. S. 1, 14..... 13
Rapid Service Store Ry. Co. *v.* Taylor *et al.* (C. C., E. D. Mich.), 43 Fed. 249, 251-2..... 40
Railroad Co. *v.* Dubois, 12 Wall, 47, 60..... 39–40
Regent Mfg. Co. *v.* Penn Elec. Co., 121 Fed. 80, 83 (C. C. A. 7)..... 62
Roberts *v.* H. P. Nail Co. (C. C., N. D. Ohio, E. D.), 53 Fed. 916, 920-1..... 40
Robinson on Patents, Vol. I, p. 124..... 13
Robinson on Patents, Vol. I, p. 132..... 60
Robinson on Patents, Vol. II, p. 146..... 39
Sanitary Refrigerator Co. *v.* Winters *et al.*, 280 U. S. 30, 34, 41, 42..... 2, 22
Sewall *v.* Jones, 91 U. S. 171, 184..... 27
Smith *v.* Snow, 294 U. S. 1, 14..... 3, 62
Standard Caster Co. *v.* Caster Socket Co., 113 Fed. 162, 169..... 21, 22
Steele *v.* Drummond, 275 U. S. 199, 203..... 2
Stow *v.* Chicago, 104 U. S. 547, 550..... 13
Strong *et al. v.* Noble *et al.*, 6 Blatchford 477.... 58–59

	PAGE
Thomson-Houston Elec. Co. *v.* Black River Traction Co. (C. C. A. 2), 135 Fed. 759, 763-4....	40
Traitel *v.* Hungerford, 18 F. (2d) 66, 68 (C. C. A. 2).....	62
United Shoe Machinery Co. *v.* E. H. Ferree Co. *et al.*, 64 F. (2d) 101, 102-103 (C. C. A. 2).	62
Van Heusen Collar Cases, 300 Fed. 922, 925.....	62
Webster Co. *v.* Splitdorf Co., 264 U. S. 463, 464..	2
White Co. *v.* Converse & Son, 20 F. (2d) 311, 313 (C. C. A. 2).....	62
Wilson *v.* Simpson, 9 How. 109.....	40
Winans *v.* Denmead, 15 How. 330, 343, 344.....	23, 27
Wright Co. *v.* Herring-Curtiss Co. *et al* (D. C., W D. N. Y.), 204 Fed. 597, 607-8.....	40

STATUTE

United States Code, Title 28, Section 347.....	1

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1936.

ESSEX RAZOR BLADE CORPORATION, Petitioner, vs. GILLETTE SAFETY RAZOR COMPANY, Respondent.	No. 21

BRIEF FOR RESPONDENT.

The Opinions Below.

The opinion of the Circuit Court of Appeals (R. 230) is reported in 83 F. (2d) 541. The first (oral) opinion of the District Court (R. 96) is not reported. The second (written) opinion of the District Court (R. 231) is reported in 13 F. Supp. 505.

Jurisdiction.

This case is here on a writ of certiorari to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit under the provisions of Title 28, Section 347 of the United States Code. The petition for writ of certiorari was granted May 4, 1936. The judgment of the court below was entered March 5, 1936 (R. 245).